UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,852	09/30/2004	Nicolas Drevon	Q83107	4310
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 10/15/200 <b>ON. PLLC</b>	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			ELCENKO, ERIC J	
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/509,852	DREVON, NICOLAS
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ERIC ELCENKO	2617
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ate, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matter	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers	rawn from consideration.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	nor	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a decision of the according and according and according to the accordi	ccepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in App iority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	rmal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/509,852 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of Claims 1-16 has been withdrawn in favor of a new rejection in view of Rune et al.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hogan et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0111180) in view of Rune et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 7,031,707)

In regard to Claim 1, Hogan teaches a method for controlling access rights in a cellular mobile radio system, comprising transferring roaming agreement information from a core network to a radio access network of said cellular mobile radio system, (the core network and the radio access network communicate via the lu interface in the control plane, (Para 12-13))

Hogan does not specifically teach the roaming information being transferred independently of messages linked to calls or the user equipment.

Rune teaches a GLR which holds subscriber information regarding roaming information. Information is sent from an HLR to the GLR. The HLR will only need to transfer the profile to the GLR. The GLR is responsible for transferring the profile to the proper MSC/VLR within a VPLMN as the subscriber moves around. The MAP protocol used by GSM/UMTS systems for transferring data should be completely independent of the presence of a GLR. When a subscriber roams around the HLR is not notified since the GLR contains the information already for the different zones the mobile is roaming. Therefore, the information is being transferred independent of messages that is linked to a user call or user equipments as the information is transferred to the GLR for dissemination through the network. (Col 2, Ln 20-41, Col 6, Ln 34-56)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hogan to include the teaching of the Rune in order to reduce signaling between visitor location registers and home location registers.

In regard to Claim 2, Hogan teaches wherein the roaming agreement transferred is common to a public land mobile network (PLMN) identified by a subset of an international mobile subscriber identity (IMSI) number. (The operators and subscriber groups can be defined or expressed as one or more IMSI-PLMNs in which only a portion of the IMSI is used to define the groups based upon the PLMN (Para 15-19))

In regard to Claim 3, Hogan teaches where said subset includes a mobile country code (MCC) and a mobile network code (MNC) field. (*The home-public land mobile network HPLMN id can be extracted from the IMSI. In this regard the HPLMN id is the mobile country code, MCC, and the mobile network code, MNC.* (*Para 19*))

Application/Control Number: 10/509,852

Art Unit: 2617

In regard to Claims 4 and 5, Hogan teaches wherein according to said roaming agreement information access to a visited public land mobile network is authorized for the whole VPLMN or limited to certain areas of said VPLMN, wherein said certain area of said VPLMN are areas in which a HPLMN does not provide radio coverage. (the network can be shared network wherein more than one operator controls the RNCs 26(1 and 2) wherein the RNCs of the other operators may be used in conjunction with their own cells to provide service (Para 54))

Page 4

In regard to claim 6, Hogan teaches wherein the roaming agreement information transferred is indicated for each location area (LA). The operators and subscriber groups can be defined or expressed as one or more IMSI-PLMNs in which only a portion of the IMSI is used to define the groups based upon the PLMN (Para 15-19))

In regard to Claim 7, Hogan teaches wherein said roaming agreement information is transferred in the event of modification of said information in the core network. (the access group classification message can, as appropriate, be one of a location update response and a location update reject message, either of which can include the access group classification. (Para 24))

In regard to Claims 8 and 9, Hogan teaches where the core network is configured beforehand with said roaming agreement information. (the subscriber groups and restriction groups along with their compositions are typically pre-agreed among operators. (Para 15-17))

Application/Control Number: 10/509,852 Page 5

Art Unit: 2617

In regard to Claim 10, Hogan teaches wherein said roaming agreement information is stored in the core network in a database of a visitor location register type.

(The subscriber information and groups are held in a location register. (Para 9))

In regard to Claim 11, Hogan teaches a radio access network equipment of a cellular mobile radio communication system, the radio access network equipment comprising means for receiving roaming agreement information from a core network equipment, (the core network and the radio access network communicate via the lu interface in the control plane, (Para 12-13))

Hogan does not specifically teach the roaming information being transferred independently of messages linked to calls or the user equipment.

Rune teaches a GLR which holds subscriber information regarding roaming information. Information is sent from an HLR to the GLR. The HLR will only need to transfer the profile to the GLR. The GLR is responsible for transferring the profile to the proper MSC/VLR within a VPLMN as the subscriber moves around. The MAP protocol used by GSM/UMTS systems for transferring data should be completely independent of the presence of a GLR. When a subscriber roams around the HLR is not notified since the GLR contains the information already for the different zones the mobile is roaming. Therefore, the information is being transferred independent of messages that is linked to a user call or user equipments as the information is transferred to the GLR for dissemination through the network. (Col 2, Ln 20-41, Col 6, Ln 34-56)

Art Unit: 2617

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hogan to include the teaching of the Rune in order to reduce signaling between visitor location registers and home location registers.

In regard to Claim 12, Hogan teaches the radio access network equipment is a radio network controller (RNC) (Para12)

In regard to Claim 13, Hogan teaches a core network equipment of a cellular mobile radio system the core network comprising means for transferring roaming agreement information to a radio access network equipment, (the core network and the radio access network communicate via the lu interface in the control plane, (Para 12-13))

Hogan does not specifically teach the roaming information being transferred independently of messages linked to calls or the user equipment.

Rune teaches a GLR which holds subscriber information regarding roaming information. Information is sent from an HLR to the GLR. The HLR will only need to transfer the profile to the GLR. The GLR is responsible for transferring the profile to the proper MSC/VLR within a VPLMN as the subscriber moves around. The MAP protocol used by GSM/UMTS systems for transferring data should be completely independent of the presence of a GLR. When a subscriber roams around the HLR is not notified since the GLR contains the information already for the different zones the mobile is roaming. Therefore, the information is being transferred independent of messages that is linked to

Application/Control Number: 10/509,852

Art Unit: 2617

a user call or user equipments as the information is transferred to the GLR for dissemination through the network. (Col 2, Ln 20-41, Col 6, Ln 34-56)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hogan to include the teaching of the Rune in order to reduce signaling between visitor location registers and home location registers.

In regard to Claim 14, Hogan teaches wherein said roaming agreement information is stored in a visitor location register (*Para 9*), and said core network equipment takes a form of a mobile switching center (MSC) type equipment connected to a VLR. (*Para 52*)

In regard to Claim 15, Hogan teaches where said roaming agreement information is stored in a VLR and said core network equipment takes the form of a GPRS support node type equipment. (Para 52)

In regard to Claim 16, Hogan teaches a mobile radio system comprising a plurality of terminals, (subscriber lists and multiple lists provided from service providers of list of mobile subscribers in the system. (Para 15-19)) a core network which contains roaming agreement information (the information is pre-agreed upon and distributed throughout the network. (Para 15-19)) a radio access network which communicates with the mobile terminals and the core network and manages mobility of mobile terminals within the radio access network (Para 12, 52)

Hogan does not specifically teach the roaming information being transferred independently of messages linked to calls or the user equipment.

Page 8

Rune teaches a GLR which holds subscriber information regarding roaming information. Information is sent from an HLR to the GLR. The HLR will only need to transfer the profile to the GLR. The GLR is responsible for transferring the profile to the proper MSC/VLR within a VPLMN as the subscriber moves around. The MAP protocol used by GSM/UMTS systems for transferring data should be completely independent of the presence of a GLR. When a subscriber roams around the HLR is not notified since the GLR contains the information already for the different zones the mobile is roaming. Therefore, the information is being transferred independent of messages that is linked to a user call or user equipments as the information is transferred to the GLR for dissemination through the network. (Col 2, Ln 20-41, Col 6, Ln 34-56)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hogan to include the teaching of the Rune in order to reduce signaling between visitor location registers and home location registers.

#### 'Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ERIC ELCENKO whose telephone number is (571)272-8066. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM through 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Edouard can be reached on (571) 272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,852 Page 9

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Eric Elcenko/

/Patrick N. Edouard/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617